

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/533,203	03/23/2000	Christopher R. Fairley	81208	9731
7590 05/21/2004		EXAMINER		
Steven W Smyrski Esq			LUU, THANH X	
Smyrski & Livesay LLP 3310 Airport Avenue SW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Santa Monica, CA 90405-6118			2878	
	, * · ·	•	DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			
		Application N .	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/533,203	FAIRLEY ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
	·	Thanh X Luu	2878
P riod fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any earn	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experience period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status	Department of the state of the	1. 4.0004	
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 	is action is non-final.	
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims		
· <u></u>	Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-50 is/are pending in the	application	
•/==	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>34-50</u> is/are withdraw	•	
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>12-15,17-20,22-26 and 28-33</u> is/are a	· .	•
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7-11,16 and 27</u> is/are rejected.		; ·
	Claim(s) <u>2,5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
	ion Papers		
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exar	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		•
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Pri rity ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No
* \$	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the certification of the prior application of the prior application of the certification of the prior application of the certification of the certif	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) 🗌 🛭	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		
Attachmen	t(s)	·	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/533,203

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to remarks filed April 15, 2004. Claims 1-20 and 22-50 are currently pending.

Claims 34-50 have been withdrawn. Examiner recommends that Applicant cancel the withdrawn claims.

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 16 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It appears that Applicant has failed to disclose an embodiment in which there is a multiple element offset fly lens arrangement that comprises a pinhole array. As understood, the fly lens array and the pinhole array are alternative embodiments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lin (U.S. Patent 5,854,674).

Regarding claims 1, 8-10, Lin discloses (see Fig. 6) a system for inspecting a specimen, comprising: a light energy source (laser); a multiple element arrangement (100, 102) for receiving light energy from the light energy source; a lensing/imaging arrangement (66') for receiving light energy from the multiple element arrangement and imparting the light energy to the specimen (wafer); a pinhole mask (28') for receiving light energy reflected from the specimen through the lensing arrangement and selectively passing the reflected light energy; and a time delay and integration charge coupled device (TDI-CCD; 90', see col. 11, line 67- col. 12, lines 1-5) for receiving light energy from the pinhole mask. The lensing arrangement is capable of autofocus and the pinhole mask is adjacent to the TDI-CCD. Lin also discloses (see Fig. 6) a focusing lens (98') as claimed.

6. Claims 1 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Derndinger et al. (EP 871052, published October 14, 1998).

Application/Control Number: 09/533,203

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claims 1 and 7-10, Derndinger et al. disclose (see Fig. 1b) a system for inspecting a specimen, comprising: a light energy source (1); a multiple element arrangement (4a) for receiving light energy from the light energy source; a lensing/imaging arrangement (3', 5, 7) for receiving light energy from the multiple element arrangement and imparting the light energy to the specimen (8); a pinhole mask (4b) for receiving light energy reflected from the specimen through the lensing arrangement and selectively passing the reflected light energy; and a time delay and integration charge coupled device (11) for receiving light energy from the pinhole mask. The lensing arrangement is capable of autofocus and the pinhole mask is adjacent to the TDI-CCD. Derndinger et al. also disclose (see Fig. 1b) a focusing lens (10) as claimed. Derndinger et al. further disclose (see Fig. 1b) the lensing/imaging arrangement comprises: a first lens (5), a transmitter/reflector (3'), an objective and a tube lens (7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Derndinger et al.

Regarding claim 3, Derndinger et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Derndinger et al. do not specifically disclose an arc lamp. However, it is well

Application/Control Number: 09/533,203

Art Unit: 2878

known in the art to use arc lamps in confocal microscopy. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an arc lamp as claimed in the apparatus of Derndinger et al. to provide sufficient illumination.

9. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Derndinger et al. in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Fig. 1), hereinafter, AAPA.

Regarding claims 4 and 11, Derndinger et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Derndinger et al. do not specifically disclose a laser energy source as claimed. AAPA (see Fig. 1) teaches a laser energy source in a confocal arrangement as claimed. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a laser energy source as claimed in the apparatus of Derndinger et al. in view of AAPA to provide more accurate and precise detection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 12-15, 17-20, 22-26 and 28-33 are allowed.
- 11. Claims 2, 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2878

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanh X Lud Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878

05/04